UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/549,670	07/03/2006	Stephen Moreton	121629-05117060	3701
20583 7590 04/ JONES DAY		9	EXAMINER	
222 EAST 41S			KILPATRICK, BRYAN T	
NEW YORK, NY 10017			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1797	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/01/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/549,670	MORETON, STEPHEN	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	BRYAN T. KILPATRICK	1797	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by static Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron ute, cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ The 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pr		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-33 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and are subjected to by the Examination.	rawn from consideration. /or election requirement.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a deplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct should be said to be shown as a should be shou	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume * See the attached detailed Office action for a limited 	nts have been received. Ints have been received in Applicat Iiority documents have been receiveau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	oate	

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by W. O. 02/057772 (MORETON).

Instant claim 1 recites an indicating desiccant comprising a silica-based material provided with, as the active indicator system, a source of iron (Fe) and a source of bromide (Br). MORETON discloses in lines 18-34 of page 3 an indicating desiccant comprised of copper and bromide sources, and iron (III) salts as a colored material. MORETON also discloses the silica-based material has been impregnated with a source of copper, a source of bromide, and a dye or colored material such as iron (III) salts.

Instant claim 29 recites a method of preparing an indicating desiccant comprising impregnating a silica-based material with a source of iron and a source of bromide to

produce an essentially copper-free product in which the iron and bromide are the active indicators. MORETON disclose in lines 13-18 of page 4 a method of impregnating a silica-based material with copper, bromide, and optionally a dye or colored material that can be an iron salt as described in lines 4-7 of page 4.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 2-28 and 30-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over W. O. 02/057772 (MORETON) as applied to claims 1 and 29, respectively.

Instant claim 2 recites the desiccant is essentially copper free. Instant claim 3 recites a desiccant in which copper is present but in an amount which is less than 0.002% by weight with respect to the anhydrous silica-based material. MORETON discloses in line 25 of page 2 that excellent indicating desiccants can be produced using

low amounts of copper (Cu). Furthermore, MORETON discloses a range of using copper between 0.002 and 0.1 percent by weight with respect to a silica-based material. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to use amounts of copper (regardless if they are smaller than 0.002 percent by weight with respect to a silica-based material), iron, and bromide for producing desiccants since it has been held that when a claim recites using an old composition or structure and the "use" is directed to a result or property of that composition or structure, then the claim is anticipated (In re May, 574 F.2d 1082, 1090, 197 USPQ 601, 607 (CCPA 1978); MPEP 2112.02 Process Claims). In addition, instant claims 4 and 5 recite the use of substantially small amounts of copper, and the instant Specification discloses in paragraph 1 of page 2, the use of copper containing silica-based indicators that are not suitable candidates for commercial usage because of the potential toxicity and environmental considerations; it has been held that known work in one field of endeavor may prompt variations of it for use in either the same field or a different one based on design incentives or other market forces if the variations are predictable to one of ordinary skill in the art (KSR, 550 U.S. at ____, 82 USPQ2d at 1396; MPEP 2141, III. RATIONALES TO SUPPORT REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. 103).

Instant claim 4 recites .the source of iron is present in an amount up to 2.0% by weight, calculated as Fe with respect to weight of the anhydrous silica-based material. Instant claim 5 recites the source of iron is present in an amount of up to 1.0% by weight, calculated as Fe with respect to weight of the anhydrous silica-based material. Instant claim 6 recites the source of iron is present in an amount of up to 0.6% by

weight, calculated as Fe with respect to weight of the anhydrous silica-based material. Instant claim 7 recites the source of iron is present in an amount of up to 0.45% by weight, calculated as Fe with respect to weight of the anhydrous silica-based material. Instant claim 8 recites the source of iron is present in an amount of at least 0.01% by weight, calculated as Fe with respect to weight of the anhydrous silica-based material. Instant claim 9 recites the source of iron is present in an amount of at least 0.02% by weight, calculated as Fe with respect to weight of the anhydrous silica-based material. Instant claim 10 recites the source of iron is present in an amount of 0.02 to 1.0% by weight, calculated as Fe with respect to weight of the anhydrous silica-based material. Instant claim 11 recites the source of iron is present in an amount of 0.05 to 0.3% by weight, calculated as Fe with respect to weight of the anhydrous silica-based material. MORETON discloses a preferable range between 0.01 and 2.0 percent by weight of the silica-based material for transition metal salts, particularly iron, in lines 4-11 of page 4.

Instant claim 12 recites the bromine content is equal to or greater than the amount of iron. Instant claim 13 recites the source of bromide is present in an amount such that the weight ratio of Br to Fe is at least 0.1:1. Instant claim 14 recites the source of bromide is present in an amount such that the weight ratio of Br to Fe is at least 0.5:1. Instant claim 15 recites the source of bromide is present in an amount such that the weight ratio of Br to Fe is at least 1:1. Instant claim 16 recites the source of bromide is present in an amount such that the weight ratio of Br to Fe is up to 100:1. Instant claim 17 recites the source of bromide is present in an amount such that the weight ratio of Br to Fe is up to 50:1. Instant claim 18 recites the source of bromide is

present in an amount such that the weight ratio of Br to Fe is up to 20:1. MORETON discloses in lines 4-11 of page 4 the range of 0.01 to 2.0 percent by weight of a silicabased material for transition metal salts used, in particular iron salts. MORETON discloses that source of copper is up to 0.5 percent by weight of a silica-based material in line 24 of page 2. MORETON discloses that source of bromide is dictated by the amount of copper present in lines 37-38 of page 2, and is based on ratios between bromide and copper such as 5:1 and 2000:1 (line 38, page 2 to lines 1, page 3). MORETON meets these limitations in view of the relationships between the amount of transition metal salts used and the ratio between bromide and copper.

Instant claim 19 recites the bromide source comprises a water-soluble salt.

Instant claim 20 recites the bromide source is selected from one or more of the group consisting of alkali metal bromides, alkaline earth metal bromides, transition metal bromides and ammonium bromide. Instant claim 21 recites the bromide source is selected from one or more of the group consisting of sodium bromide, potassium bromide, calcium bromide, magnesium bromide, zinc bromide and ammonium bromide.

MORETON discloses the use of water-soluble bromide and ammonium bromide in lines 29-36 of page 2.

Instant claim 22 recites the source of iron is an iron (II) and/or an iron (III) salt or salts. Instant claim 23 recites the iron source is provided by one or more salts selected from the group consisting of iron (II) sulphate, iron (III) chloride, iron (III) nitrate, iron (III) sulphate, ammonium iron (II) sulphate and potassium iron (III) sulphate. MORETON discloses the use of iron salts and particular iron salts such

as iron (III) sulphate, ammonium iron (II) sulphate, ammonium iron (III) sulphate and potassium iron (III) sulphate in line 34 of page 3 to line 7 of page 4.

Instant claim 24 recites the silica- based material is silica gel. Instant claim 25 recites the silica gel is a beaded silica gel. Instant claim 26 recites the silica gel is a granular silica gel. MORETON discloses the silica gel limitations in lines 13-17 of page 2.

Instant claim 27 recites the silica gel is a dry or humidified gel. MORETON discloses the use of humidified gel in line 22 of page 4.

Instant claim 28 recites the silica gel has a pore volume to nitrogen in the range 0.2 to 2.0 cm³g⁻¹ and a BET surface area in the range 200 to 1500 m²g⁻¹. MORETON discloses this limitation in lines 18-21 of page 2.

Instant claim 30 recites the source of iron is present in an amount up to 2.0 percent by weight, calculated as Fe with respect to weight of the anhydrous silica-based material, and the source of bromide in an amount such that the weight ratio of Br to Fe is at least 0.1:1. MORETON discloses that source of copper is up to 0.5 percent by weight of a silica-based material in line 24 of page 2. MORETON discloses that source of bromide is dictated by the amount of copper present in lines 37-38 of page 2, and is based on ratios between bromide and copper such as 5:1 and 2000:1 (line 38, page 2 to lines 1, page 3). MORETON meets this limitation in view of the relationships between the amount of transition metal salts used and the ratio between bromide and copper.

Instant claim 31 recites a humidified silica gel containing from 20 to 30% water weight is soaked in a solution containing between 0.1% and the saturation point of an iron salt and a source of bromide, excess solution is drained from the treated silica gel and the silica gel is dried at a temperature in the range 80°C to 230°C. MORETON discloses in lines 7-29 of page 5 of a method of impregnating a humidified silica gel with copper, bromide, and an optional dye that MORETON discloses in line 35 of page 3 to line 7 of page 4 can be substituted with a suitable colored material such as an iron salt.

Instant claim 32 recites the gel is soaked in solution for a period in the range of 2 to 24 hours. MORETON discloses this limitation in line 8 of page 5.

Instant claim 33 recites impregnation is effected by mixing a humidified silica gel containing from 15 to 30 percent moisture by weight with a solution containing a source of iron and a source of bromide, the amount of solution used being just sufficient to produce the required loading of iron and bromide on the silica gel, and subsequently drying the treated silica gel at a temperature in the range 80°C to 230°C. MORETON discloses in lines 7-29 of page 5 of a method of impregnating a humidified silica gel with copper, bromide, and an optional dye that MORETON discloses in line 35 of page 3 to line 7 of page 4 can be substituted with a suitable colored material such as an iron salt.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. U.S. Patent 6,655,315 (GATTIGLIA) recites in claims 1-11 a moisture indicator comprised of an amorphous silica or silica gel support, and a coloring

Application/Control Number: 10/549,670 Page 9

Art Unit: 1797

agent comprised of copper chloride and one or more synergistic hygroscopic salts that include ferric chloride and sodium bromide among other salts.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BRYAN T. KILPATRICK whose telephone number is (571)270-5553. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:30 am - 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on (571)272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Samuel P Siefke/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797 BK AU 1797